



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Constitutional Law—Sterilization of Insane Persons.—In *Haynes v. Lapeer Circuit Judge*, 166 N. W. 938, the Supreme Court of Michigan held that the Michigan statute (Pub. Acts 1913, No. 34), providing for operations of vasectomy on male and salpingectomy on female insane or mentally defective persons maintained at public institutions, is unconstitutional and void as class legislation, in arbitrarily selecting only those confined in state institution. The court said in part:

"A sterilization law was enacted in the state of New Jersey in 1911, to which our act (No. 34 of 1913) is analogous in purpose and similar in various provisions. * * * The court of last resort in that state held the law unconstitutional for the same reason urged in this inquiry. *Smith v. Board of Examiners of Feeble-Minded*, 85 N. J. Law, 46, 88 Atl. 963. * * * The following clearly stated reasons for holding the law invalid as discriminating class legislation are well in point here:

"It must be apparent that the class thus selected is singularly narrow when the broad purpose of the statute and the avowed object sought to be accomplished by it are considered. The objection, however, is not that the class is small as compared with the magnitude of the purpose in view, which is nothing less than the artificial improvement of society at large, but that it is singularly inept for the accomplishment of that purpose in this respect, viz. that if such object require the sterilization of the class so selected then a fortiori does it require the sterilization of the vastly greater class who are not protected from procreation by their confinement in state or county institutions. The broad class to which the legislative remedy is normally applicable is that of epileptics, i. e., all epileptics. * * * If it be conceded, for the sake of argument, that the Legislature may select one of these broadly defined classes, i. e., the poor, and may legislate solely with reference to this class, it is evident that, by the further subclassification of the poor into those who are and those who are not inmates in public charitable institutions, a principle of selection is adopted that bears no reasonable relation to the proposed scheme for the artificial betterment of society. For not only will society at large be just as injuriously affected by the procreation of epileptics who are not confined in such institutions as it will be by the procreation of those who are so confined, but the former vastly outnumber the latter, and are, in the nature of things, vastly more exposed to the temptation and opportunity of procreation, which indeed in cases of those confined in a presumably well-conducted public institution is reduced practically to nil."

Contracts—Excuse for Nonperformance—Epidemic of Infantile Paralysis.—In *Hanford v. Connecticut Fair Ass'n Inc.* (Conn.), 103